# GRAPHIC SEQUENCES WITH A REALIZATION CONTAINING A COMPLETE MULTIPARTITE SUBGRAPH

GUANTAO CHEN<sup>1</sup>
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY
MICHAEL FERRARA

DEPARTMENT OF THEORETICAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS
THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON
RONALD J. GOULD

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
EMORY UNIVERSITY
JOHN R. SCHMITT
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MIDDLEBURY COLLEGE

ABSTRACT. A nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers  $\pi=(d_1,d_2,...,d_n)$  is graphic if there is a (simple) graph G of order n having degree sequence  $\pi$ . In this case, G is said to realize  $\pi$ . For a given graph H, a graphic sequence  $\pi$  is potentially H-graphic if there is some realization of  $\pi$  containing H as a (weak) subgraph. Let  $\sigma(\pi)$  denote the sum of the terms of  $\pi$ . For a graph H and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\sigma(H,n)$  is defined as the smallest even integer m so that every n-term graphic sequence  $\pi$  with  $\sigma(\pi) \geq m$  is potentially H-graphic. Let  $K_s^t$  denote the complete t partite graph such that each partite set has exactly s vertices. We show that  $\sigma(K_s^t,n) = \sigma(K_{(t-2)s} + K_{s,s},n)$  and obtain the exact value of  $\sigma(K_s^t,n)$  for n sufficiently large. Consequently, we obtain the exact value of  $\sigma(K_s^t,n)$  for n sufficiently large.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, G is a graph without loops or multiple edges. A good reference for any terms not defined here is [2]. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  let N(v) denote the set of neighbors (or neighborhood) of v, and d(v) the degree of v. Additionally, let  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$  and  $\overline{G}$  denote the complement of G. Given any two graphs G and H, their join, denoted G + H, is the graph with  $V(G + H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$  and  $E(G + H) = E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{qh \mid q \in V(G), h \in V(H)\}$ .

We denote the complete t-partite graph with partition sizes  $p_1, \dots, p_t$  by  $K_{p_1, \dots, p_t}$ . If  $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_t = s$ , we denote this graph by  $K_s^t$ . Complete, balanced multipartite graphs are of interest in light of the following classical extremal result of Erdős and Stone [6].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partially Supported by NSA grant H98230-04-1-0300 and NSF grant DMS-0500951

**Theorem 1** (Erdős and Stone 1946). Let G be a graph with n vertices and at least

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{t} + \epsilon\right) \frac{n^2}{2}$$

edges. Then for n sufficiently large, G contains a copy of  $K_s^{t+1}$ .

A nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers  $\pi = (d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$  is graphic if there is a (simple) graph G of order n having degree sequence  $\pi$ . In this case, G is said to realize  $\pi$ , and we write  $\pi = \pi(G)$ . There are numerous methods to check if a given sequence is graphic. One theorem that will prove useful is the Erdős-Gallai condition [4].

**Theorem 2** (Erdős and Gallai 1960). A nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers  $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$   $(n \ge 2)$  is graphic if, and only if,  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$  is even and for each integer  $k, 1 \le k \le n-1$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \le k(k-1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \min\{k, d_i\}.$$

For a given graph H, a graphic sequence  $\pi$  is potentially H-graphic if there is some realization of  $\pi$  containing H as a subgraph.

We let  $\sigma(\pi)$  denote the sum of the terms of  $\pi$ . For a graph H and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we define  $\sigma(H, n)$  to be the smallest even integer m so that every n-term graphic sequence  $\pi$  with  $\sigma(\pi) \geq m$  is potentially H-graphic. As  $\sigma(\pi) = 2|E(G(\pi))|$ , the problem of determining  $\sigma(H, n)$  is a natural variant of the Turán problem.

In this paper, we will determine  $\sigma(K_j + K_{s,s}, n)$  for  $j \geq 1, s \geq 3$ , and n sufficiently large, and, as a corollary, determine  $\sigma(K_s^t, n)$ . The following theorem from [7] is useful.

**Theorem 3** (Gould, Jacobson and Lehel 1999). Let  $\pi$  be a graphic sequence. If G is a realization of  $\pi$  that contains some subgraph H, then there is a realization G' of  $\pi$  that contains H on the |V(H)| vertices of highest degree in G'.

- 2. Construction of the (Degree-Sum) Maximal Counterexample
- 2.1. Cliques. In [5] Erdős, Jacobson and Lehel conjectured that  $\sigma(K_t, n) = (t 2)(2n t + 1) + 2$ . The conjecture arises from consideration of the graph  $K_{(t-2)} + \overline{K}_{(n-t+2)}$ . It is easy to observe that this graph contains no  $K_t$ , is the unique realization of the sequence  $((n-1)^{t-2}, (t-2)^{n-t+2})$ , and has degree sum (t-2)(2n-t+1). The cases t=3,4 and 5 were proved separately (see respectively [5], [7] and [8], and [9]), and Li, Song and Luo [10] proved the conjecture true via linear algebraic techniques for  $t \geq 6$  and  $n \geq {t \choose 2} + 3$ . A purely graph-theoretic proof was given in [15] and the result is also given as a corollary to the main result in [3].
- 2.2. Complete Bipartite Graphs. We now turn our attention to the complete bipartite case,  $K_{s,s}$ . Trivially,  $\sigma(K_{1,1},n)=2$  and  $\sigma(K_{2,2},n)$  was established in [7]. The case  $s \geq 3$  was completed in [11], where following notation first appeared. Let s = 8k + l, where  $k \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq l \leq 7$ . If  $l \in \{0, 1, 4, 5\}$ , let  $F_l = \{(8k + l, n) : k \geq 0\}$

and  $n \ge 16k + 2l$ }. If  $l \in \{2, 3, 6, 7\}$  let  $F'_l = \{(8k + l, n) : k \ge 0 \text{ and } n \ge 16k + 2l$  and n is odd  $\}$  and  $F''_l = \{(8k + l, n) : k \ge 0 \text{ and } n \ge 16k + 2l \text{ and } n \text{ is even} \}$ . Denote by  $E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4$  the set  $F_0 \cup F'_2 \cup F''_6, F_4 \cup F''_2 \cup F'_6, F_1 \cup F''_3 \cup F'_7$  and  $F_5 \cup F'_3 \cup F''_7$ , respectively. The following summarizes these results.

**Theorem 4** ([7],[11]). Let n and s be positive integers.

(1) If s is even and  $n \ge 4s^2 - s - 6$ , then

$$\sigma(K_{s,s},n) = \begin{cases} (\frac{5}{2}s - 2)n - \frac{11}{8}s^2 + \frac{5}{4}s + 2 & if(s,n) \in E_1\\ (\frac{5}{2}s - 2)n - \frac{11}{8}s^2 + \frac{5}{4}s + 1 & if(s,n) \in E_2. \end{cases}$$
(1)

(2) If s is odd and  $n \ge 4s^2 + 3s - 8$ , then

$$\sigma(K_{s,s},n) = \begin{cases} (\frac{5}{2}s - \frac{5}{2})n - \frac{11}{8}s^2 + \frac{5}{2}s + \frac{7}{8} & if(s,n) \in E_3\\ (\frac{5}{2}s - \frac{5}{2})n - \frac{11}{8}s^2 + \frac{5}{2}s + \frac{15}{8} & if(s,n) \in E_4. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

3. 
$$\sigma(K_j + K_{s,s}, n)$$

Let  $j \geq 1$  be an integer and let H be any graph on n-j vertices with degree sum  $\sigma(K_{s,s}, n-j) - 2$  such that  $\pi(H)$  is not potentially H-graphic.

Now consider the graph  $G = K_j + H$  and let  $\pi(n, s, j)$  denote  $\pi(G)$ . For any values of j and s, and for n sufficiently large, it is not difficult to verify that  $\pi(n, s, j)$  has no realization containing  $K_j + K_{s,s}$  as a subgraph. We can compute a lower bound for  $\sigma(K_j + K_{s,s}, n)$  by noting that  $\sigma(\pi(n, s, j)) = j(n - 1) + j(n - j) + \sigma(K_{s,s}, n - j) - 2$ .

**Lemma 1.** (i) If s is even and  $(s, n - j) \in E_1$ , then

$$\sigma(K_j + K_{s,s}, n) \ge n(2j + \frac{5}{2}s - 2) - j^2 + j - \frac{5}{2}sj - \frac{11}{8}s^2 + \frac{5}{4}s + 2.$$
 (3)

(ii) If s is even and  $(s, n - j) \in E_2$ , then

$$\sigma(K_j + K_{s,s}, n) \ge n(2j + \frac{5}{2}s - 2) - j^2 + j - \frac{5}{2}sj - \frac{11}{8}s^2 + \frac{5}{4}s + 1.$$
 (4)

(iii) If s is odd, and  $(s, n - j) \in E_3$ , then

$$\sigma(K_j + K_{s,s}, n) \ge n(2j + \frac{5}{2}s - \frac{5}{2}) - j^2 + \frac{3}{2}j - \frac{5}{2}sj - \frac{11}{8}s^2 + \frac{5}{2}s + \frac{7}{8}.$$
 (5)

(iv) If s is odd, and  $(s, n - j) \in E_4$ , then

$$\sigma(K_j + K_{s,s}, n) \ge n(2j + \frac{5}{2}s - \frac{5}{2}) - j^2 + \frac{3}{2}j - \frac{5}{2}sj - \frac{11}{8}s^2 + \frac{5}{2}s + \frac{15}{8}.$$
 (6)

In this paper, we prove the following:

**Theorem 5.** Let  $j \ge 1$  and  $s \ge 3$  be integers and  $\pi$  be an n-term graphic sequence, where n is sufficiently large. If  $\sigma(\pi) \ge \sigma(\pi(n,s,j)) + 2$  then  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

This graph is of interest as in [15] the following was shown.

**Theorem 6** ([15]). Let n, s and t be positive integers, with n sufficiently large. Then

$$\sigma(K_s^t, n) \ge \sigma(\pi(n, s, st - 2s)) + 2.$$

Equality holds if  $s \leq 2$ .

As  $K_s^t$  is a subgraph of  $K_{s(t-2)} + K_{s,s}$ , we obtain the following as a corollary to Theorem 5 and Theorem 6.

**Theorem 7.** Let n, s and t be positive integers with n sufficiently large. Then

$$\sigma(K_s^t, n) = \sigma(\pi(n, s, st - 2s)) + 2.$$

The remainder of this paper is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 5.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be an n-term graphic sequence with  $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(\pi(n,s,j)) + 2$  for sufficiently large n. In the sections that follow, we will reduce  $\pi$  to a sequence  $\pi_{j+2s}$ , according to the parity of s and the first j+2s terms of  $\pi$ , and then show that  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic if and only if  $\pi_{j+2s}$  is graphic. We then show that  $\pi_{j+2s}$  is, in fact, graphic. The following counting result will be useful as we proceed.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\pi = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  be a nonincreasing graphic sequence such that  $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(\pi(n, s, j)) + 2$ . Then for sufficiently large n,  $d_{j+2s} \geq j+s$  and  $d_j \geq j+2s$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $d_{j+2s}$  is strictly less than j+s and note that

$$\sigma(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j+2s-1} d_i + \sum_{i=j+2s}^{n} d_i.$$

By the Erdős-Gallai condition, this is at most

$$(j+2s-1)(j+2s-2) + \sum_{i=j+2s}^{n} \min\{d_i, j+2s-1\} + \sum_{i=j+2s}^{n} d_i,$$

which equals

$$(j+2s-1)(j+2s-2)+2\sum_{i=j+2s}^{n}d_{i}.$$

By assumption,  $d_{j+2s}$  is at most j + s - 1. Therefore,

$$\sigma(\pi) < (j+2s-1)(j+2s-2) + 2(n-j-2s+1)(j+s-1) = n(2j+2s-2+o(1)).$$

This is less than  $\sigma(\pi(n, s, j))$  for n sufficiently large, implying that  $d_{j+2s} \geq j + s$ .

Next, assume that  $d_i < j + 2s$ . Then

$$\sigma(\pi) \le (j-1)(n-1) + (n-j+1)(j+2s-1) = n(2j+2s-2+o(1)),$$

which is less than  $\sigma(\pi(n, s, j))$  for n sufficiently large.

3.1.  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 5 in the case where s is even.

Let  $s \geq 4$  be an even integer and let  $\pi = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  be a nonincreasing graphic sequence with  $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(\pi(n, s, j)) + 2$ . We prove several facts about the elements of  $\pi$  in this case.

**Lemma 3.** Let n be a sufficiently large integer, and let  $\pi = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  be a nonincreasing graphic sequence such that  $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(\pi(n, s, j)) + 2$ , where s is even. Then for any integer  $0 \leq i \leq j + s - 2$ ,

$$d_{j+s-1-i} \ge j + \frac{3}{2}s + i.$$

*Proof.* Assume the conclusion is false. Then

$$\sigma(\pi) \le (j+s-i-2)(n-1) + (n-j-s+i+2)(j+\frac{3}{2}s+i-1) = n(2j+\frac{5}{2}s-3+o(1)),$$
 which is less than  $\sigma(\pi(n,s,j))$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

**Lemma 4.** If n is sufficiently large and  $\pi = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  is as given, then

$$d_n \ge j + \frac{5}{4}s - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = G_0$  be a realization of  $\pi$ . If  $\delta(G_0) \geq j + \frac{5}{4}s - 1$  we are done, so assume there is some vertex  $v_0$  in  $G_0$  such that the degree of  $v_0 = \delta(G_0) < j + \frac{5}{4}s - 1$ . Then, as the degree of  $v_0$  must be an integer, we have that

$$d(v_0) \le j + \frac{5}{4}s - \frac{3}{2}.$$

Define  $G_1$  to be  $G_0 - v_0$ . If there is some vertex  $v_1$  in  $G_1$  such that  $d(v_1) = \delta(G_1) < j + \frac{5}{4}s - 1$  then we define  $G_2 = G_1 - v_1$ . Proceed in this manner to obtain a sequence  $G_0 = G$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $\cdots$  of induced subgraphs of G.

Note that, for each  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\sigma(\pi(G_i)) = \sigma(\pi(G_i)) - 2d(v_i) \ge \sigma(\pi(G_{i-1})) - (2j + \frac{5}{2}s - 3).$$

Consequently, as  $\sigma(\pi)$  is at least  $n(2j + \frac{5}{2}s - 2) + g(s, j)$ , where  $g(s, j) = O(s^2j^2)$ , it follows that

$$\sigma(\pi(G_i)) \ge |G_i|(2j + \frac{5}{2}s - 2) + i + g(s, j)$$

for each  $G_i$ .

Either this process will terminate for some  $i \leq \frac{3sn}{3s+1}$ , or if n is sufficiently large, we may choose an i such that  $\sigma(\pi(G_i)) > |G_i|(2j+4s-4+o(1))$ , implying that  $\pi(G_i)$  is potentially  $K_{j+2s}$ -graphic, and hence that  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic. If the process terminates, say with some  $G_i$ , then we redefine  $\pi$  to be  $\pi(G_i)$  as  $\sigma(\pi(G_i)) \geq \sigma(\pi(n-i,s,j))$  and  $|G_i| = n-i \geq \frac{n}{3s+1}$ .

Given  $\pi$ , we first obtain the sequence

$$\pi_1 = (d_2^{(1)}, \dots, d_n^{(1)})$$

from  $\pi$  by deleting  $d_1$  and subtracting 1 from the first  $d_1$  remaining terms, then reordering  $d_{j+2s+1}-1,\ldots,d_{d_1+1}-1,d_{d_1+2},\ldots,d_n$  to be nonincreasing.

We construct the sequences

$$\pi_i = (d_{i+1}^{(i)}, \dots, d_n^{(i)})$$

for  $2 \le i \le j$  from

$$\pi_{i-1} = (d_i^{(i-1)}, \dots, d_n^{(i-1)})$$

by deleting  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$ , subtracting one from the first  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  remaining positive terms, and then arranging the last n-j-2s terms in nonincreasing order.

We construct  $\pi_{j+1}, \ldots, \pi_{j+2s}$  by considering  $d_{j+1}^{(j)}, \ldots, d_{j+2s}^{(j)}$ . We partition these 2s integers into two sets:

$$D^+ = \{d_i^{(j)} \mid j+1 \le i \le j+2s, \text{ and } d_i^{(j)} \ge 2s-1\},\$$

and

$$D^{-} = \{d_{j+1}^{(j)}, \dots, d_{j+2s}^{(j)}\} \setminus D^{+}.$$

Note that Lemma 2 implies that all of the degrees in  $D^-$  are at least s. The construction of  $\pi_{j+1}, \dots, \pi_{j+2s}$  depends on the size of  $D^-$  and falls into one of the following three cases.

Case 1:  $|D^-| \le s$ .

In this case, we construct  $\pi_i$ ,  $j+1 \leq i \leq j+2s$ , from  $\pi_{i-1}$  in a manner similar to that used to construct  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_j$ . First we delete  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$  and reduce the first  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  remaining positive terms by 1. Next we arrange the first j+2s-i terms to be nonincreasing and then arrange the last n-j-2s degrees to be nonincreasing.

It remains to show that this process is feasible at each stage, that is there are at least  $d_i^{i-1}$  positive terms to be reduced at the point which we create  $\pi_i$ . To this end, we give a useful necessary condition for a sequence to be graphic. Specifically, we strengthen the Erdős-Gallai condition from Theorem 2 by noting that since  $\pi$  is graphic, for any  $1 \le k \le n$  it must be the case that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} \min\{d_i, k-1\} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \min\{d_i, k\}.$$

Clearly, as a corollary to Theorem 2, this condition is also sufficient with the added constraint that  $\sigma(\pi)$  is even. This strengthening of Theorem 2 along with the fact that we reorder the last terms in our residual sequence each time assure that a sufficient number of positive terms remain at each step in this process.

The following lemma is useful in the next case.

**Lemma 5.** If  $\pi$  is a graphic sequence with  $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(\pi(n, s, j)) + 2$  and  $d_j = n - 1$  then  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$  graphic.

*Proof.* Simply note that this implies  $d_1, \ldots, d_j$  would all be equal to n-1, and hence any realization of  $\pi$  would be a copy of  $K_j$  joined to a graph that is potentially  $K_{s,s}$ -graphic due to its degree sum.

Case 2:  $|D^-| = s + 1$ .

In this case, for  $j+1 \le i \le j+s$ , we construct  $\pi_i$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$  as follows.

- (1) Remove  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$ .
- (2) Subtract 1 from the first d<sub>i</sub><sup>(i-1)</sup> remaining positive degrees except for d<sub>j+s</sub><sup>(i-1)</sup>. As shown above, d<sub>n</sub> ≥ j + ½/4s 1 which implies that d<sub>n</sub><sup>(j+s-1)</sup> is at least 1. Thus, as each degree in D<sup>-</sup> is at most 2s 2, a sufficiently large choice of n assures us that there will be a sufficient number of positive terms remaining to be reduced.
- (3) Reorder only the final n j 2s terms so that they are nonincreasing.

The reader should note that we do not reorder the first collection of terms in this process. We can be assured that step (2) is possible by considering Lemma 4, which assures us that  $d_n^{(j)}$  is at least  $d_n - j \ge \frac{5}{4}(s-1)$ , and Lemma 5, which assures us that  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  is at most n-i-1.

For each  $j+s+1 \leq i \leq j+2s$ , we construct  $\pi_i$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$  by removing  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$ , reducing the first  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  remaining terms by one, and reordering the initial and terminal subsequences, as above.

The remaining case will require the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** If n is sufficiently large and  $d_{j+s-1-i}^{(j)}$  is in  $D^-$  for  $0 \le i \le s-2$  then  $d_{j+2s} \ge j + \frac{3}{2}s + i$ .

*Proof.* Assume that the lemma is false and note that if  $d_{j+s-1-i}^{(j)}$  is in  $D^-$  then it follows by the definition of  $D^-$  that  $d_{j+s-1-i} \leq j+2s-2$ . This implies that

$$\sigma(\pi) \leq (j+s-i-2)(n-1) + (s+i+1)(j+2s-2) + (n-j-2s+1)(j+\frac{3}{2}s+i-1) = n(2j+\frac{5}{2}s-3+o(1)),$$
 which is less than  $\sigma(\pi(n,s,j))$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.  $\square$ 

Case 3:  $|D^-| \ge s + 2$ 

Note that this implies that  $d_{j+s-1}^{(j)}$  is in  $D^-$ . Hence, Lemma 6 gives that  $d_{j+2s}^{(j)} \ge \frac{3}{2}s$ .

We partition  $D^+$  into sets  $A^+$  and  $B^+$  and  $D^-$  into sets  $A^-$  and  $B^-$  such that the following hold:

- (a)  $|A^+| + |A^-| = |B^+| + |B^-| = s$ ,
- (b)  $|A^+|$  and  $|B^+|$  differ by at most 1, and
- (c)  $|A^+|$  consists of the largest integers in  $D^+$ .

The sets  $A = A^+ \cup A^-$  and  $B = B^+ \cup B^-$  will contain the degrees of the vertices of each partite set of  $K_{s,s}$ .

We now reorder  $d_{j+1}^{(j)},\ldots,d_{j+2s}^{(j)}$  so that  $d_{j+1}^{(j)},\ldots,d_{j+|A^+|}^{(j)}$  are the elements of  $A^+$  in nonincreasing order,  $d_{j+|A^+|+1}^{(j)},\ldots,d_{j+s}^{(j)}$  are the elements of  $A^-$  in nonincreasing order,  $d_{j+s+1}^{(j)},\ldots,d_{j+s+|B^+|}^{(j)}$  are the elements of  $B^+$  in nonincreasing order, and  $d_{j+s+|B^+|+1}^{(j)},\ldots,d_{j+2s}^{(j)}$  are the elements of  $B^-$  in nonincreasing order.

We construct  $\pi_i, j+1 \leq i \leq j+2s$ , from  $\pi_{i-1}$  based on which of the four sets  $A^+, A^-, B^+$  or  $B^-$  contains  $d_i^{(j)}$ .

If  $d_i^{(j)}$  is in  $A^+, B^+$  or  $B^-$ , construct  $\pi_i$  by deleting  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$ , reducing the next  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  positive terms by one, and reordering *only* the last n-j-2s degrees to be nonincreasing.

If  $d_i^{(j)}$  is in  $A^-$ , construct  $\pi_i$  by removing  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$ , reducing the next  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  positive degrees starting with  $d_{j+s+1}^{(i-1)}$  by one, and reordering the last n-j-2s degrees to be nonincreasing. We can assure that there are a sufficient number of positive terms remaining using a similar argument to the one given in the last case.

Claim 1. If  $\pi_{j+2s}$  is graphic, then  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

*Proof.* The key to this claim is to note that in constructing  $\pi_i$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$ , it is possible to keep track of the degrees that were reduced by one. Therefore, if  $\pi_i$  is graphic with realization  $G_i$ , it is possible to construct a realization of  $\pi_{i-1}$  by adding a vertex to  $G_i$  and connecting it to the appropriate vertices in  $G_i$  so as to make  $\pi_{i-1}$  the degree sequence of this new graph. This implies that if  $\pi_{j+2s}$  is graphic, so too are  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_{j+2s-1}$ .

Lemma 2 gives us that  $d_1, \ldots, d_j$  are all at least j+2s. Thus, in constructing  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_j$ , we are reducing the degrees of the remaining members of  $d_1, \ldots, d_{j+2s}$  by one each time. Hence, if  $\pi_{j+2s}$  is graphic, the rebuilding process will yield a realization of  $\pi$  that contains a copy of  $K_j$  joined to some graph on 2s vertices.

While examining the various methods used to construct  $\pi_{j+1}, \ldots, \pi_{j+s}$ , the reader should note that in each case, after removing the first remaining degree, we reduce (amongst others),

$$d_{j+s+1}^{(i)}, \dots, d_{j+2s}^{(i)}$$

by one each time. Additionally, it is important to note that we do not reorder the first subsequence during these constructions. Hence, when constructing our realization of  $\pi$ , it will contain the join of two sets of s vertices, forming a  $K_{s,s}$ .  $\square$ 

3.2.  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Let  $s \geq 3$  be an odd integer and let  $\pi = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  be a nonincreasing graphic sequence with  $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(\pi(n, s, j)) + 2$ . We proceed in a manner similar to the above.

**Lemma 7.** Let n be a sufficiently large integer, and let  $\pi = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  be a nonincreasing graphic sequence such that  $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(\pi(n, s, j)) + 2$ , where  $s \geq 3$  is

odd. Then for any integer  $0 \le i \le j + s - 2$ ,

$$d_{j+s-i-1} \ge j + \frac{3s-1}{2} + i.$$

*Proof.* Assume otherwise. Then, as in Lemma 3,

$$\sigma(\pi) \le (n-1)(j+s-2) + (j+\frac{3s-3}{2})(n-j-s+2) = n(2j+\frac{5}{2}s-\frac{7}{2}+o(1)).$$

However, for n sufficiently large, this is less than  $\sigma(\pi(n,s,j))$ .

We may also make an assumption about  $d_n$  similar to Lemma 4.

**Lemma 8.** If n is sufficiently large and  $\pi = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  is as given, then

$$d_n \ge j + \frac{5}{4}s - \frac{5}{4}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G_0 = G$ . If  $\delta(G_0) \ge j + \frac{5}{4}s - \frac{5}{4}$ , we are done so assume there is some vertex  $v_0 \in G_0$  such that  $d(v_0) = \delta(G_0) < j + \frac{5}{4}s - \frac{5}{4}$ . Then, as the degree of  $v_0$  must be an integer, we have that

$$d(v_0) \le j + \frac{5}{4}s - \frac{7}{4}.$$

Define  $G_1$  to be  $G_0 - v_0$ . If there is some vertex  $v_1$  in  $G_1$  such that  $d(v_1) = \delta(G_1) < j + \frac{5}{4}s - 1$  then we define  $G_2 = G_1 - v_1$ . If necessary, we proceed in this manner and form  $G_3$ , and so on.

Note that, for each  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\sigma(\pi(G_i)) = \sigma(\pi(G_i)) - 2d(v_i) \ge \sigma(\pi(G_{i-1})) - 2(j + \frac{5}{4}s - \frac{7}{4})$$

and hence

$$\sigma(\pi(G_i)) \ge |G_i|(2j + \frac{5}{2}s - \frac{5}{2}) + i + g(s, j)$$

for each  $G_i$  we have constructed, where  $g(s, j) = O(s^2 j^2)$ 

As in Lemma 4, either this process terminates, or if n is sufficiently large, we may choose an i such that  $\sigma(G_i) > |G_i|(2j+4s-4+o(1))$ , implying that  $\pi(G_i)$  is potentially  $K_{j+2s}$ -graphic, and hence that  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic. If the process terminates, say with some  $G_i$ , then we redefine  $\pi$  to be  $\pi(G_i)$ , as  $\sigma(\pi(G_i)) \geq \sigma(\pi(n-i,s,j))$ .

The remainder of the proof will resemble that of the case when s is even. We construct  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_j$  and define  $D^+$  and  $D^-$  in the same manner as above. Again we proceed by considering the number of elements in  $D^-$ .

Case 1 
$$|D^-| \le s$$
 and Case 2: $|D^-| = s + 1$ .

These cases are resolved in a manner identical to that used when s is even. The reader should simply note that Lemma 5 still holds and can therefore be utilized in Case 2.

The remaining case will require the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.** If n is sufficiently large and  $d_{i+s-1-i}^{(j)}$  is in  $D^-$  for  $0 \le i \le s-2$  then

$$d_{j+2s} \ge j + \frac{3s-1}{2} + i.$$

*Proof.* Assume that the lemma is false and note that if  $d_{j+s-1-i}^{(j)}$  is in  $D^-$  then  $d_{j+s-1-i} \leq j+2s-2$ . This implies that

$$\sigma(\pi) \leq (j+s-i-2)(n-1) + (s+i+1)(j+2s-2) + (n-j-2s+1)(j+\frac{3s-3}{2}s+i) = n(2j+\frac{5}{2}s-\frac{7}{2}+o(1)),$$
 which is less than  $\sigma(\pi(n,s,j))$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.  $\square$ 

Case 3:  $|D^-| \ge s + 2$ 

Note that this implies that  $d_{j+s-1}^{(j)}$  is in  $D^-$ . Hence, Lemma 9 gives that  $d_{j+2s}^{(j)} \ge \frac{3s-1}{2}$ .

We partition  $D^+$  into sets  $A^+$  and  $B^+$  and  $D^-$  into sets  $A^-$  and  $B^-$  such that the following hold:

- (a)  $|A^+| + |A^-| = |B^+| + |B^-| = s$ ,
- (b)  $|A^+|$  and  $|B^+|$  differ by at most 1, and
- (c)  $|A^+|$  consists of the largest degrees in  $D^+$ .

We now reorder  $d_{j+1}^{(j)}, \ldots, d_{j+2s}^{(j)}$  so that  $d_{j+1}^{(j)}, \ldots, d_{j+|A^+|}^{(j)}$  are the elements of  $A^+$  in nonincreasing order,  $d_{j+|A^+|+1}^{(j)}, \ldots, d_{j+s}^{(j)}$  are the elements of  $A^-$  in nonincreasing order,  $d_{j+s+1}^{(j)}, \ldots, d_{j+s+|B^+|}^{(j)}$  are the elements of  $B^+$  in nonincreasing order, and  $d_{j+s+|B^+|+1}^{(j)}, \ldots, d_{j+2s}^{(j)}$  are the elements of  $B^-$  in nonincreasing order.

We construct  $\pi_i, j+1 \leq i \leq j+2s$ , from  $\pi_{i-1}$  based on which of the four sets  $A^+, A^-, B^+$  or  $B^-$  contains  $d_i^{(j)}$ .

If  $d_i^{(j)}$  is in  $A^+, B^+$  or  $B^-$ , construct  $\pi_i$  by deleting  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$ , reducing the next  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  positive terms by one, and reordering the last n-j-2s degrees to be nonincreasing.

If  $d_i^{(j)}$  is in  $A^-$ , construct  $\pi_i$  by removing  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  from  $\pi_{i-1}$ , reducing the next  $d_i^{(i-1)}$  positive degrees starting with  $d_{j+s+1}^{(i-1)}$  by one, and reordering the last n-j-2s degrees to be nonincreasing.

Again, we may claim the following.

Claim 2. If  $\pi_{j+2s}$  is graphic, then  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

## 4. Completing the Proof

Next, we show that  $\pi_{j+2s}$  is, in fact, graphic for any value of s. We use the following two theorems. The first can be found in [11] and [12].

**Theorem 8** (Li and Yin 2002). Let  $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$  be a nonincreasing sequence of non-negative integers, where  $d_1 = m$  and  $\sigma(\pi)$  is even. If there exists an integer  $n_1 \leq n$  such that  $d_{n_1} \geq h \geq 1$  and  $n_1 \geq \frac{1}{h} \left\lceil \frac{(m+h+1)^2}{4} \right\rceil$ , then  $\pi$  is graphic.

The next theorem can be found in [13].

**Theorem 9** (Li and Yin 2005). Let  $n \ge 2r + 2$  and  $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$  be graphic with  $d_{r+1} \ge r$ . If  $d_{2r+2} \ge r - 1$ , then  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_{r+1}$ -graphic.

We proceed with the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 10.** If  $n \ge 2j + 4s$  and  $d_{2j+4s} \ge j + 2s - 2$  then  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

*Proof.* Note that if  $d_{j+2s} \geq j+2s-1$ , then  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_{j+2s}$ -graphic by Theorem 9.

Thus, we may assume that  $d_{j+2s} \leq j+2s-2$ . Along with the hypothesis, this implies that

$$d_{j+2s} = d_{j+2s+1} = \dots = d_{2j+4s} = j+2s-2.$$

Therefore, for each  $1 \le i \le j+2s$  the values  $d_{j+2s+1}^{(i)}, \ldots, d_{2j+4s}^{(i)}$  differ by at most one from our construction. It follows that  $\pi_{j+2s}$  satisfies

$$j+2s-2 \ge m = d_{j+2s+1}^{(j+2s)} \ge \dots \ge d_{2j+4s}^{(j+2s)} \ge m-1.$$

If m = 1,  $\pi_{j+2s}$  must be graphic as  $\sigma(\pi_{j+2s})$  is even. If  $m \geq 2$ , then

$$\frac{1}{m-1} \left[ \frac{(m+(m-1)+1)^2}{4} \right] \le m+2 \le j+2s.$$

We apply Theorem 8 with m=m, h=m-1 and  $n_1=j+2s$  to see that  $\pi_{j+2s}$  is graphic, and hence by Claim 1,  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j+K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

**Lemma 11.** Let  $s \geq 3$  be an integer and let  $\pi = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  be a graphic sequence with n sufficiently large and  $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(\pi(n, s, j)) + 2$ . If  $d_{2j+4s} \leq j + 2s - 3$ , then  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

*Proof.* First, note that  $d_1 > 2j + 4s - 2$ , otherwise  $\sigma(\pi) < (2j + 4s - 1)(4s - 3) + (n - 2j - 4s + 1)(j + 2s - 3) < \sigma(\pi(n, s, j))$ , a contradiction.

We proceed by induction on j. If j=0, then by Theorem 4,  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

Therefore, assume that  $j \geq 1$ . If  $d_1 = n - 1$ , then  $\sigma(\pi_1) \geq \sigma(\pi(n - 1, s, j - 1))$  and the induction hypothesis and Theorem 3 imply that there is a realization of  $\pi_1$  containing a copy of  $K_{j-1} + K_{s,s}$ . Hence, as  $d_1$  has degree n-1  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

Suppose then, that  $d_1 < n-1$ , and there exists an integer r, with  $j+2s \le r \le d_1+1$  such that  $d_{r+1} < d_r$ . By induction,  $\pi_1$  is potentially  $K_{j-1}+K_{s,s}$ -graphic and by Theorem 3, there is a realization of  $\pi_1$  that contains  $K_{j-1}+K_{s,s}$  on the highest

j+2s-1 degrees. By the definition of r, these degrees correspond to  $d_2, \ldots, d_{j+2s}$  in  $\pi$ . Therefore,  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic.

If  $d_1$  is not equal to n-1 and no such r exists, then

$$n-2 \ge d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \cdots \ge d_{j+2s} = d_{j+2s+1} = \cdots = d_{2j+4s} = \cdots = d_{d_1+2}.$$

As above, we may then assume that there is some m such that

$$j+2s-2 \ge m = d_{j+2s+1}^{(j+2s)} \ge \dots \ge d_{2j+4s}^{(j+2s)} \ge m-1.$$

We may then complete the proof as in Lemma 10.

Lemma 10 and Lemma 11 together imply that  $\pi$  is potentially  $K_j + K_{s,s}$ -graphic, completing the proof of Theorem 5.

As noted above, this also completes the proof of Theorem 7.

### 5. Conclusion

At the time of revision, we were made aware that the results in this paper were concurrently obtained in [14]. We invite the interested reader to compare the approaches used in both papers. While there are similarities, this serves to underscore the versatility of these residual subsequence techniques when applied to problems of this type.

As the astute reader will realize, our result also implies the value of  $\sigma(K_{r_1,r_2,...,r_l,s,s},n)$ , where  $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq ... \leq r_l \leq s$  since the function  $\sigma(F,n)$  is monotone with respect to subgraph inclusion (that is  $\sigma(F',n) \leq \sigma(F,n)$  whenever  $F' \subseteq F$ ). Our result falls short of the result by Li and Yin only in the fact that we require the two largest partite sets be of equal size, while they do not.

# References

- [1] B. Bollobás, Extremal Graph Theory, Academic Press Inc. (1978).
- [2] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, Graphs and Digraphs, Fourth Edition, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press (2005).
- [3] G. Chen and J. Yin, On Potentially  $K_{r_1,r_2,...,r_m}$ -graphic Sequences, *Util. Math.* **72** (2007), 149-161.
- [4] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, Graphs with prescribed degrees (in Hungarian) Matematiki Lapor 11 (1960), 264-274.
- [5] P. Erdős, M.S. Jacobson and J. Lehel, Graphs Realizing the Same Degree Sequence and their Respective Clique Numbers, Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications, Vol. I, 1991, ed. Alavi, Chartrand, Oellerman and Schwenk, 439-449.
- [6] P. Erdős and A.M. Stone, On the Structure of Linear Graphs, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 52 (1946), 1087-1091.
- [7] R.J. Gould, M.S. Jacobson and J. Lehel, Potentially G-graphic degree sequences, in Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Algorithms (eds. Alavi, Lick and Schwenk), Vol. I, New York: Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1999, 387-400.
- [8] J. Li and Z. Song, An extremal problem on the potentially P<sub>k</sub>-graphic sequences, The international Symposium on Combinatorics and Applications, June 28-30, 1996 (W.Y.C. Chen et. al., eds.) Tanjin, Nankai University 1996, 269-276.
- [9] J. Li and Z. Song. The smallest degree sum that yields potentially P<sub>k</sub>-graphical sequences.
   J. Graph Theory 29 (1998), no.2, 63-72.

- [10] J. Li, Z. Song and R. Luo, The Erdős-Jacobson-Lehel conjecture on potentially  $P_k$ -graphic sequences is true, Science in China, Ser. A, **41(5)** (1998), 510-520.
- [11] J. Li and J. Yin, The smallest degree sum that yields potentially  $K_{r,r}$ -graphic sequences, Science in China, Ser. A, **46(2)** (2002), 694-705.
- [12] J. Li and J. Yin, An extremal problem on potentially  $K_{r,s}$ -graphic sequences, *Discrete Math.*, **260** (2003), no. 1-3, 295-305.
- [13] J. Li and J. Yin, Two sufficient conditions for a graphic sequence to have a realization with prescribed clique size, *Discrete Math.*, 301 (2005), no. 2-3, 218-227.
- [14] J. Li and J. Yin, Potentially  $K_{r_1,r_2,...,r_l,r,s}$ -graphic sequences, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007), no. 9-10, 1167-1177.
- [15] J.R. Schmitt, On potentially P-graphic degree sequences and saturated graphs, Ph. D. Thesis, Emory University, 2005.