# Graphic Sequences with a Realization Containing a Generalized Friendship Graph * 

Jian-Hua Yin ${ }^{a \dagger}$, Gang Chen ${ }^{b}$, John R. Schmitt ${ }^{c}$<br>${ }^{a}$ Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Information Science and Technology, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, P.R. China<br>${ }^{b}$ Department of Mathematics, Ningxia University, Yinchuan 750021, P.R. China<br>${ }^{c}$ Department of Mathematics, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT, USA


#### Abstract

Gould, Jacobson and Lehel (Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Algorithms, Vol.I (1999) 451-460) considered a variation of the classical Turán-type extremal problems as follows: for any simple graph $H$, determine the smallest even integer $\sigma(H, n)$ such that every $n$-term graphic sequence $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ with term sum $\sigma(\pi)=d_{1}+d_{2}+\cdots+d_{n} \geq \sigma(H, n)$ has a realization $G$ containing $H$ as a subgraph. Let $F_{t, r, k}$ denote the generalized friendship graph on $k t-k r+r$ vertices, that is, the graph of $k$ copies of $K_{t}$ meeting in a common $r$ set, where $K_{t}$ is the complete graph on $t$ vertices and $0 \leq r \leq t$. In this paper, we determine $\sigma\left(F_{t, r, k}, n\right)$ for $k \geq 2, t \geq 3,1 \leq r \leq t-2$ and $n$ sufficiently large.
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## 1. Introduction

The set of all sequences $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ of non-negative, non-increasing integers with $d_{1} \leq$ $n-1$ is denoted by $N S_{n}$. A sequence $\pi \in N S_{n}$ is said to be graphic if it is the degree sequence of a simple graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, and such a graph $G$ is called a realization of $\pi$. The set of

[^0]all graphic sequences in $N S_{n}$ is denoted by $G S_{n}$. For a sequence $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in N S_{n}$, denote $\sigma(\pi)=d_{1}+d_{2}+\cdots+d_{n}$. For a given graph $H$, a graphic sequence $\pi$ is said to be potentially (respectively, forcibly) $H$-graphic if there exists a realization of $\pi$ containing $H$ as a subgraph (respectively, each realization of $\pi$ contains $H$ as a subgraph). Given any two graphs $G$ and $H, G \cup H$ is the disjoint union of $G$ and $H$ and $G+H$, their join, is the graph with $V(G+H)=V(G) \cup V(H)$ and $E(G+H)=E(G) \cup E(H) \cup\{u v \mid u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}$.

The classical problem in extremal graph theory is as follows: given a subgraph $H$ determine the smallest integer $m$ such that every graph $G$ on $n$ vertices with edge number $e(G) \geq m$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. This $m$ is denoted by $e x(H, n)$, and is called the Turán number of $H$. In terms of graphic sequences, the number $2 e x(H, n)$ is the smallest even integer such that each sequence $\pi \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi) \geq 2 e x(H, n)$ is forcibly $H$-graphic. Gould, Jacobson and Lehel [7] considered the following variation of the classical Turán number $e x(H, n)$ : determine the smallest even integer $\sigma(H, n)$ such that each sequence $\pi \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(H, n)$ is potentially $H$-graphic. The instance of this problem when $H=K_{r}$, the complete graph on $r$ vertices, was considered by Erdős, Jacobson and Lehel [3] where they showed that $\sigma\left(K_{3}, n\right)=2 n$ for $n \geq 6$ and conjectured that $\sigma\left(K_{r}, n\right)=(r-2)(2 n-r+1)+2$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Gould et al. [7] and Li and Song [11] independently proved it for $r=4$. In [12,13], Li, Song and Luo showed that the conjecture holds for $r=5$ and $n \geq 10$ and for $r \geq 6$ and $n \geq\binom{ r-1}{2}+3$. Recently, Li and Yin [14] further determined $\sigma\left(K_{r}, n\right)$ for $r \geq 7$ and $n \geq 2 r+1$. The problem of determining $\sigma\left(K_{r}, n\right)$ is completely solved.

For $0 \leq r \leq t$, denote the generalized friendship graph on $k t-k r+r$ vertices by $F_{t, r, k}$, where $F_{t, r, k}$ is the graph of $k$ copies of $K_{t}$ meeting in a common $r$ set. Clearly, $F_{t, r, k}=K_{r}+k K_{t-r}$, where $k K_{t-r}$ is the disjoint union of $k$ copies of $K_{t-r}$. Since $F_{t, r, 1}=F_{t, t, k}=K_{t}$, we have that $\sigma\left(F_{t, r, 1}, n\right)=\sigma\left(F_{t, t, k}, n\right)=\sigma\left(K_{t}, n\right)$. The graph $F_{2,0, k}=k K_{2}$ was considered by Gould et al. in $[7]$, where they determined that $\sigma\left(F_{2,0, k}, n\right)=(k-1)(2 n-k)+2$. The graph $F_{3,1, k}$, the friendship graph, was considered by Ferrara, Gould and Schmitt in [5], where they determined that $\sigma\left(F_{3,1, k}, n\right)=k(2 n-k-1)+2$ for $n \geq \frac{9}{2} k^{2}+\frac{7}{2} k-\frac{1}{2}$. Lai [10] determined $\sigma\left(F_{3,1,2}, n\right)$. The graph $F_{t, t-1, k}$, the $r_{1} \times \cdots \times r_{t}$ complete $t$-partite graph with $r_{1}=\cdots=r_{t-1}=1$ and $r_{t}=k$,
was considered by Yin and Chen in [16], where they determined that

$$
\sigma\left(F_{t, t-1, k}, n\right)= \begin{cases}(k+2 t-5) n-(t-2)(k+t-2)+2 & \text { if } k \text { or } n-t+1 \text { is odd, } \\ (k+2 t-5) n-(t-2)(k+t-2)+1 & \text { if } k \text { and } n-t+1 \text { are even }\end{cases}
$$

for $n \geq 3 t+2 k^{2}+3 k-6$. In fact, [16] also contains a proof of the conjecture of Erdős et al. as a consequence of this main result (the case of $k=1$ ). The graph $F_{t, 0, k}=k K_{t}$ and the graph $F_{t, t-2, k}$ were considered by Ferrara in [4], where he determined that $\sigma\left(F_{t, 0, k}, n\right)=(k t-k-1)(2 n-k t+$ $k)+2$ for a sufficiently large choice of $n$ and $\sigma\left(F_{t, t-2, k}, n\right)=(t+k-3)(2 n-t-k+2)+2$ for a sufficiently large choice of $n$. The purpose of this paper is to determine $\sigma\left(F_{t, r, k}, n\right)$ for $k \geq 2$, $t \geq 3,1 \leq r \leq t-2$ and $n$ sufficiently large. That is, we establish all remaining cases. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1 Let $k \geq 2, t \geq 3$ and $1 \leq r \leq t-2$. Then there exists a positive integer $g(t, r, k)$ such that for all $n \geq g(t, r, k)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(F_{t, r, k}, n\right)=(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(t, r, k)=k t-k r+r$ is the order of $F_{t, r, k}$.
One can see that $\sigma\left(F_{t, r, k}, n\right) \geq(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2$ by considering the graphic sequence

$$
\pi=\left((n-1)^{n(t, r, k)-k-1},(n(t, r, k)-k-1)^{n-n(t, r, k)+k+1}\right),
$$

which has degree sum

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) & =(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(n-1)+(n-n(t, r, k)+k+1)(n(t, r, k)-k-1) \\
& =(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the symbol $x^{y}$ in a sequence stands for $y$ consecutive terms, each equal to $x$. This sequence is uniquely realized by $K_{n(t, r, k)-k-1}+\bar{K}_{n-n(t, r, k)+k+1}$. To see that $K_{n(t, r, k)-k-1}+\bar{K}_{n-n(t, r, k)+k+1}$ contains no copy of $F_{t, r, k}$ first notice that any $k+1$ vertices of $F_{t, r, k}$ must contain at least one edge. Now if $K_{n(t, r, k)-k-1}+\bar{K}_{n-n(t, r, k)+k+1}$ were to contain a copy of $F_{t, r, k}$ it must contain at least $k+1$ of its vertices from the subgraph $\bar{K}_{n-n(t, r, k)+k+1}$ of $K_{n(t, r, k)-k-1}+\bar{K}_{n-n(t, r, k)+k+1}$, however this subgraph does not contain an edge. This lower bound first appeared in [15] and
can also be generated using the techniques in [6]. For $r=1$, Chen et al. [1] determined the Turán number of $F_{t, 1, k}$ as follows:

$$
e x\left(F_{t, 1, k}, n\right)=e x\left(K_{t}, n\right)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k^{2}-k+1 \text { if } k \text { is odd }, \\
k^{2}-\frac{3}{2} k+1 \text { if } k \text { even } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Turán number of $F_{t, r, k}$ in the more general case is unknown.

## 2. Useful Known Results

For $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in N S_{n}$, let $d_{1}^{\prime} \geq d_{2}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n-1}^{\prime}$ be the rearrangement in non-increasing order of $d_{2}-1, d_{3}-1, \ldots, d_{d_{1}+1}-1, d_{d_{1}+2}, \ldots, d_{n}$. Then $\pi^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ is called the residual sequence of $\pi$. For example, let $\pi=(4,3,3,2,2,2)$. By deleting $d_{1}=4$, and then reducing the first 4 remaining terms of $\pi$ by one, we get the integer sequence $2,2,1,1,2$. Reorder the integer sequence $2,2,1,1,2$ in non-increasing order, we get that the residual sequence of $\pi$ is $\pi^{\prime}=(2,2,2,1,1)$. It is easy to see that if $\pi^{\prime}$ is graphic then so is $\pi$, since a realization $G$ of $\pi$ can be obtained from a realization $G^{\prime}$ of $\pi^{\prime}$ by adding a new vertex of degree $d_{1}$ to $G^{\prime}$ and joining it to the vertices whose degrees are reduced by one in going from $\pi$ to $\pi^{\prime}$. Each of the following results will be useful as we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem $2.1[17,18]$ Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in N S_{n}, x=d_{1}$ and $\sigma(\pi)$ be even. If there exists an integer $n_{1}, n_{1} \leq n$ such that $d_{n_{1}} \geq y \geq 1$ and $n_{1} \geq \frac{1}{y}\left\lfloor\frac{(x+y+1)^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$, then $\pi$ is graphic.

Theorem 2.2 [7] If $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ has a realization $G$ containing $H$ as a subgraph, then there exists a realization $G^{\prime}$ of $\pi$ containing $H$ as a subgraph so that the vertices of $H$ have the largest degrees of $\pi$.

Theorem 2.3 [19] Let $n \geq 2 m+2$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $d_{m+1} \geq m$. If $d_{2 m+2} \geq m-1$, then $\pi$ is potentially $K_{m+1}$-graphic.

Theorem 2.4 [4] Let $H=K_{m_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup K_{m_{k}}$, where each $m_{i} \geq 2$. Then for a sufficiently large choice of $n$,

$$
\sigma(H, n)=(m-k-1)(2 n-m+k)+2,
$$

where $m=\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}$.

## 3. Proof of Main Result

From here forward, let $k \geq 2, t \geq 3,1 \leq r \leq t-2$ and $n$ be a sufficiently large integer. We begin the proof of Theorem 1.1 by showing that any graphic degree sequence with sum at least that given in Equation (1) has certain properties. In each part of the following lemma the proof follows by a contradiction to the degree sum.

Lemma 3.1 Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi) \geq(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+$ $k)+2$. Then
(1) $d_{r} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$,
(2) $d_{n(t, r, k)} \geq n(t, r, k)-k-1$,
(3) $d_{n(t, r, k)-k+1} \geq n(t, r, k)-k$,
(4) If there is some $\ell, 0 \leq \ell \leq k t-k r-k-2$ such that $d_{r+\ell} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$ and $d_{r+\ell+1} \leq n(t, r, k)-2$, then $d_{n(t, r, k)} \geq n(t, r, k)-k$,
(5) $p(\pi) \geq \sqrt{\sigma(\pi)}$, where $p(\pi)=\max \left\{i \mid d_{i} \geq 1\right\}$.

Proof. (1) If $d_{r} \leq n(t, r, k)-2$, then for $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) & \leq(r-1)(n-1)+(n-r+1)(n(t, r, k)-2) \\
& <(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If $d_{n(t, r, k)} \leq n(t, r, k)-k-2$, then by applying the well-known Erdős-Gallai [2] characterization of degree sequences,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n(t, r, k)-1} d_{i}+\sum_{i=n(t, r, k)}^{n} d_{i} \\
\leq & \left.(n(t, r, k)-1)(n(t, r, k)-2)+\sum_{i=n(t, r, k)}^{n} \min \left\{n(t, r, k)-1, d_{i}\right\}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=n(t, r, k)}^{n} d_{i} \\
= & (n(t, r, k)-1)(n(t, r, k)-2)+2 \sum_{i=n(t, r, k)}^{n} d_{i} \\
\leq & (n(t, r, k)-1)(n(t, r, k)-2) \\
& +2(n-(n(t, r, k)-1))(n(t, r, k)-k-2) \\
< & (n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2 \text { for } n \text { sufficiently large. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) If $d_{n(t, r, k)-k+1} \leq n(t, r, k)-k-1$, then as in the proof of part (2) we apply the result of Erdős-Gallai to reach a contradiction.
(4) If $d_{n(t, r, k)} \leq n(t, r, k)-k-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) \leq & (n-1)(r+\ell)+(n(t, r, k)-2)(k t-k r-\ell-1) \\
& +(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(n-(n(t, r, k)-1)) \\
\leq & (2 n(t, r, k)-2 k-3) n+(n(t, r, k)-2)(k t-k r-1) \\
& -(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(n(t, r, k)-1) \\
< & (n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2 \text { for } n \text { sufficiently large. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(5) Since $(p(\pi))^{2} \geq p(\pi)(p(\pi)-1) \geq p(\pi) d_{1} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=\sigma(\pi)$, we have $p(\pi) \geq \sqrt{\sigma(\pi)}$.

Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with

$$
n-2 \geq d_{1} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n(t, r, k)}=\cdots=d_{d_{1}+2} \geq d_{d_{1}+3} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n}
$$

and

$$
\sigma(\pi) \geq(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2 .
$$

By Lemma 3.1, $d_{r} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$ and $d_{n(t, r, k)} \geq n(t, r, k)-k-1$. We construct the sequence

$$
\pi_{1}=\left(d_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, d_{n(t, r, k)}^{(1)}, d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(1)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(1)}\right)
$$

from $\pi$ by deleting $d_{1}$, reducing the first $d_{1}$ remaining terms of $\pi$ by one, and then reordering the last $n-n(t, r, k)$ terms to be non-increasing. For $2 \leq i \leq r$, we construct

$$
\pi_{i}=\left(d_{i+1}^{(i)}, \ldots, d_{n(t, r, k)}^{(i)}, d_{n(t, r, r)+1}^{(i)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(i)}\right)
$$

from

$$
\pi_{i-1}=\left(d_{i}^{(i-1)}, \ldots, d_{n(t, r, k)}^{(i-1)}, d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(i-1)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(i-1)}\right)
$$

by deleting $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$, reducing the first $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$ nonzero remaining terms of $\pi_{i-1}$ by one, and then reordering the last $n-n(t, r, k)$ terms to be non-increasing. The manner in which we construct $\pi_{i}, r+1 \leq i \leq n(t, r, k)$ depends on two cases.

Case 1. $d_{n(t, r, k)-k-1} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$.
In this case, we proceed as above and construct $\pi_{i}, r+1 \leq i \leq n(t, r, k)$ from $\pi_{i-1}$ by removing $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$, reducing the first $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$ nonzero remaining terms of $\pi_{i-1}$ by one, and then reordering the last $n-n(t, r, k)$ terms to be non-increasing.

Case 2. There is some $\ell, 0 \leq \ell \leq k t-k r-k-2$ such that $d_{r+\ell} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$ and $d_{r+\ell+1} \leq n(t, r, k)-2$.

By Lemma 3.1, $d_{n(t, r, k)} \geq n(t, r, k)-k$. In this case, we first construct $\pi_{i}, r+1 \leq i \leq r+\ell$ as above, by removing $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$ from $\pi_{i-1}$, reducing the first $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$ nonzero remaining terms of $\pi_{i-1}$ by one, and then reordering the last $n-n(t, r, k)$ terms to be non-increasing. From the definition of $\pi_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r+\ell$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{r+\ell} & =\left(d_{r+\ell+1}^{(r+\ell)}, \ldots, d_{n(t, r, k)}^{(r+\ell)}, d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(r+\ell)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(r+\ell)}\right) \\
& =\left(d_{r+\ell+1}-(r+\ell), \ldots, d_{n(t, r, k)}-(r+\ell), d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(r+\ell)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(r+\ell)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
k t-k r-\ell-2 \geq d_{r+\ell+1}^{(r+\ell)} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n(t, r, k)}^{(r+\ell)} & \geq k t-k r-\ell-k \\
& =k\left(t-r-\frac{\ell}{k}-1\right) \\
& =\left(t-r-\frac{\ell}{k}-1\right)+(k-1)\left(t-r-\frac{\ell}{k}-1\right) \\
& \geq\left(t-r-\frac{\ell}{k}-1\right)+(k-1)\left(t-r-\frac{k t-k r-k-2}{k}-1\right) \\
& \geq t-r-\frac{\ell}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(r+\ell)} \geq d_{n(t, r, k)+1}-(r+\ell) \geq k t-k r-\ell-k \geq 2
$$

and the terms $d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(r+\ell)}, \ldots, d_{d_{1}+2}^{(r+\ell)}$ differ by at most one. This implies that $p\left(\pi_{r+\ell}\right)=p(\pi)$. Let $\ell=\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\cdots+\ell_{k}$, where $\ell_{i}=\left\lfloor\frac{\ell}{k}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lfloor\frac{\ell}{k}\right\rfloor+1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, k$. In other words, $\ell$ is partitioned into $k$ parts of sizes $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}$ as evenly as possible. Denote $x_{i}=t-r-\ell_{i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then for each $i=1, \ldots, k$, we have

$$
k t-k r-\ell-2 \geq d_{r+\ell+1}^{(r+\ell)} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n(t, r, k)}^{(r+\ell)} \geq x_{i} \geq 1
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{r+\ell+j}^{(r+\ell)}=f_{r+\ell+j}+\left(x_{1}-1\right) \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq x_{1}, \\
& d_{r+\ell+x_{1}+j}^{(r+\ell)}=f_{r+\ell+x_{1}+j}+\left(x_{2}-1\right) \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq x_{2} \text {, } \\
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{array} \\
& d_{r+\ell+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k-1}+j}^{(r+\ell)}=f_{r+\ell+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k-1}+j}+\left(x_{k}-1\right) \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq x_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $1 \leq f_{r+\ell+m} \leq(k t-k r-\ell-2)-\left(t-r-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell}{k}\right\rfloor\right)+2$ for each $m=1, \ldots, k t-k r-\ell$. We now construct $\pi_{i}, r+\ell+1 \leq i \leq n(t, r, k)$ from $\pi_{i-1}$ by removing $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$, reducing the first $f_{i}$
nonzero terms, starting with $d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(i-1)}$ by one, and then ordering the last $n-n(t, r, k)$ terms to be non-increasing. Note that if $n$ is sufficiently large, then $p\left(\pi_{r+\ell}\right)=p(\pi) \geq \sqrt{\sigma(\pi)}$ (by Lemma 3.1) is also sufficiently large. Moreover, $f_{r+\ell+m} \leq k t-k r$ for each $m=1, \ldots, k t-k r-\ell$. Thus, we can be assured that for $n$ large enough, there is a sufficient number of positive terms in each $\pi_{i-1}(r+\ell+1 \leq i \leq n(t, r, k))$ to construct $\pi_{i}$ without forcing any terms in $\pi_{i}$ to be negative. We now present the following crucial lemma.

Lemma 3.2 If $\pi_{n(t, r, k)}$ is graphic, then $\pi$ is potentially $F_{t, r, k}$-graphic (i.e. potentially $\left.K_{r}+k K_{t-r}\right)$-graphic.

Proof. Let $G_{n(t, r, k)}$ be a realization of $\pi_{n(t, r, k)}$ with $V\left(G_{n(t, r, k)}\right)=\left\{v_{n(t, r, k)+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $d\left(v_{n(t, r, k)+j}\right)=d_{n(t, r, k)+j}^{(n(t, r, k))}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-n(t, r, k)$, where $d\left(v_{n(t, r, k)+j)}\right)$ is the degree of $v_{n(t, r, k)+j}$ in $G_{n(t, r, k)}$. Denote $\pi_{0}=\pi$. The proof of Lemma 3.2 now breaks into the following two cases.

Case 1. $d_{n(t, r, k)-k-1} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$.
For $i=n(t, r, k)-1, \ldots, 1,0$ in turn, we can construct a realization $G_{i}$ of $\pi_{i}$ from the realization $G_{i+1}$ of $\pi_{i+1}$ by adding a new vertex $v_{i+1}$ to $G_{i+1}$ and joining it to the vertices whose degrees were reduced by one in going from $\pi_{i}$ to $\pi_{i+1}$. Since $d_{n(t, r, k)-k+1} \geq n(t, r, k)-k$ (by Lemma 3.1), we have $d_{n(t, r, k)-k}^{(n(t, r, k)-k)} \geq d_{n(t, r, k)-k+1}^{(n(t, r, k)-k-1)} \geq 1$, and hence $v_{n(t, r, k)-k} v_{n(t, r, k)-k+1} \in E\left(G_{n(t, r, k)-k-1}\right)$. In creating $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n(t, r, k)-k-1}$, the fact that $d_{n(t, r, k)-k-1} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$ implies that the realization $G_{0}$ of $\pi$ created in this manner will contain a copy of $K_{r}+\left(K_{k t-k r-k-1}+\left(K_{2} \cup(k-\right.\right.$ 1) $\left.K_{1}\right)$ ) such that $V\left(K_{r}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}, V\left(K_{k t-k r-k-1}\right)=\left\{v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_{n(t, r, k)-k-1}\right\}, V\left(K_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{v_{n(t, r, k)-k}, v_{n(t, r, k)-k+1}\right\}$ and $V\left((k-1) K_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{n(t, r, k)-k+2}, \ldots, v_{n(t, r, k)}\right\}$. It is easy to see that $K_{k t-k r-k-1}+\left(K_{2} \cup(k-1) K_{1}\right)$ contains $k K_{t-r}$ as a subgraph. Thus, $G_{0}$ contains $K_{r}+k K_{t-r}$ as a subgraph.

Case 2. There is some $\ell, 0 \leq \ell \leq k t-k r-k-2$ such that $d_{r+\ell} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$ and $d_{r+\ell+1} \leq n(t, r, k)-2$.

For $i=n(t, r, k)-1, \ldots, r+\ell+1, r+\ell$ in turn, we can construct $G_{i}$ from $G_{i+1}$ by adding a new vertex $v_{i+1}$ to $G_{i+1}$ and joining it to vertices of those degrees that were reduced by one in the formation of $\pi_{i+1}$. It is easy to see that $G_{r+\ell}$ is a realization of

$$
\left(f_{r+\ell+1}, \ldots, f_{n(t, r, k)}, d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(r+\ell)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(r+\ell)}\right)
$$

such that $d\left(v_{r+\ell+j}\right)=f_{r+\ell+j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k t-k r-\ell$ and $\left\{v_{r+\ell+1}, \ldots, v_{n(t, r, k)}\right\}$ forms an independent set in $G_{r+\ell}$. We now construct a realization $G_{r+\ell}^{\prime}$ of $\pi_{r+\ell}$ from $G_{r+\ell}$ by adding those edges such that $\left\{v_{r+\ell+x_{0}+\cdots+x_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, v_{r+\ell+x_{0}+\cdots+x_{j-1}+x_{j}}\right\}$ forms a clique for each $j=1, \ldots, k$, where $x_{0}=0$. For convenience, the graph $G_{r+\ell}^{\prime}$ is still denoted by $G_{r+\ell}$. For $i=r+\ell-1, \ldots, 1,0$ in turn, we then can construct a realization $G_{i}$ of $\pi_{i}$ from the realization $G_{i+1}$ of $\pi_{i+1}$ by adding a new vertex $v_{i+1}$ to $G_{i+1}$ and joining it to the vertices whose degrees were reduced by one in going from $\pi_{i}$ to $\pi_{i+1}$. The fact that $d_{r+\ell} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$ implies that $G_{0}$ contains $K_{r}+\left(K_{\ell}+\left(K_{x_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup K_{x_{k}}\right)\right)$ as a subgraph. It is easy to see that $K_{\ell}+\left(K_{x_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup K_{x_{k}}\right)$ contains $k K_{t-r}$ as a subgraph. Therefore, $G_{0}$ contains $K_{r}+k K_{t-r}$ as a subgraph.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In order to prove

$$
\sigma\left(F_{t, r, k}, n\right) \leq(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2,
$$

it is enough to prove that if $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with

$$
\sigma(\pi) \geq(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2,
$$

then $\pi$ is potentially $F_{t, r, k}$-graphic (i.e. potentially $K_{r}+k K_{t-r}$-graphic). The proof follows by induction on $r$ (and any $t \geq r+2$ ). If $r=0$, then $\sigma(\pi) \geq(k t-k-1)(2 n-k t+k)+2$. By Theorem 2.4 (the case of $m_{1}=\cdots=m_{k}=t$ ), $\pi$ is potentially $F_{t, 0, k}$-graphic (i.e. potentially $k K_{t}$-graphic) for any $t \geq 2$. Now we assume that the result holds for $r-1$ (and any $t \geq(r-1)+2$ ), where $r \geq 1$. We will prove that the result holds for $r$ (and any $t \geq r+2$ ). Let $\pi^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the residual sequence of $\pi$. By the well-known result of Havel [9] and Hakimi [8], $\pi^{\prime}$ is graphic and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(\pi)-2 d_{1} \\
& \geq(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2-2(n-1) \\
& =(n(t-1, r-1, k)-k-1)(2(n-1)-n(t-1, r-1, k)+k)+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $t-1 \geq(r-1)+2$ and the induction hypothesis, $\pi^{\prime}$ is potentially $F_{t-1, r-1, k}$-graphic (i.e.
 contains a copy of $K_{r-1}+k K_{(t-1)-(r-1)}$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.2, this implies that there exists a realization of $\pi^{\prime}$ with $K_{r-1}+k K_{(t-1)-(r-1)}=K_{r-1}+k K_{t-r}$ on those vertices having degree $d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n(t, r, k)-1}^{\prime}$. Now suppose that either $d_{1}=n-1$ or there exists an integer $h$,
$n(t, r, k) \leq h \leq d_{1}+1$ such that $d_{h}>d_{h+1}$. Then $d_{i}^{\prime}=d_{i+1}-1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n(t, r, k)-1$. This implies that $\pi$ would be potentially $K_{r}+k K_{t-r}$-graphic. Thus, we may assume that no such $h$ exists and hence that

$$
n-2 \geq d_{1} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n(t, r, k)}=\cdots=d_{d_{1}+2} \geq d_{d_{1}+3} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n}
$$

If $d_{2 n(t, r, k)} \geq n(t, r, k)-1$, then $\pi$ is potentially $K_{n(t, r, k) \text { - }}$-graphic by Theorem 2.3, which is sufficient to show that $\pi$ is potentially $K_{r}+k K_{t-r}$-graphic. We now may further assume that $d_{2 n(t, r, k)} \leq n(t, r, k)-2$. If $d_{1} \leq 2 n(t, r, k)-3$, then

$$
\sigma(\pi) \leq(2 n(t, r, k)-3)(2 n(t, r, k)-1)+(n(t, r, k)-2)(n-(2 n(t, r, k)-1)) .
$$

This is less than $(n(t, r, k)-k-1)(2 n-n(t, r, k)+k)+2$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Hence $d_{1} \geq 2 n(t, r, k)-2$, i.e., $d_{1}+2 \geq n(t, r, k)$. This implies that

$$
n(t, r, k)-2 \geq d_{n(t, r, k)}=d_{n(t, r, k)+1}=\cdots=d_{2 n(t, r, k)}=\cdots=d_{d_{1}+2} .
$$

For each $j=1, \ldots, n(t, r, k)$, the terms $d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(j)}, \ldots, d_{2 n(t, r, k)}^{(j)}, \ldots, d_{d_{1}+2}^{(j)}$ differ by at most one. Hence $\pi_{n(t, r, k)}$ satisfies, for some $x \geq 1$,

$$
n(t, r, k)-2 \geq x=d_{n(t, r, k)+1}^{(n(t, r, k))} \geq \cdots \geq d_{2 n}^{(n(t) r, r, r, k))} \geq \cdots \geq d_{d_{1}+2}^{(n(t, r, k))} \geq x-1 .
$$

If $x=1, \pi_{n(t, r, k)}$ must be graphic as $\sigma\left(\pi_{n(t, r, k)}\right)$ is even. If $x \geq 2$, then

$$
\frac{1}{x-1}\left\lfloor\frac{(x+(x-1)+1)^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor \leq x+2 \leq n(t, r, k) .
$$

By Theorem 2.1, $\pi_{n(t, r, k)}$ is also graphic. Thus, $\pi$ is potentially $K_{r}+k K_{t-r}$-graphic by Lemma 3.2 .
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