

LINEAR ALGEBRA
EXAM 3
SPRING 2013

Name: *Solution Key*

Honor Code Statement: *I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this exam.*

Additional Honor Code Statement: *I have not witnessed another giving or receiving unauthorized aid.*

Signature: *C.T. Gauss*

Directions: Complete all problems. Justify all answers/solutions. Calculators, texts, and notes are not permitted. Please turn off all electronic devices. Additional blank white paper is available at the front of the room. Good luck!

- (1) [5 points] Let T be a transformation in \mathbb{R}^3 that rotates points about some line through the origin. If A is the associated matrix, find an eigenvalue of A and describe the eigenspace that corresponds to this value.

As the transformation rotates points about some line, the vectors that "lie on this line" are fixed. That is, any \vec{x} on this line satisfies $A\vec{x} = \vec{x}$. So we see that 1 is an eigenvalue of A and the corresponding eigenspace is the set of all vectors that lie on the line of rotation.

- (2) [5 points] Define orthonormal set.

A set $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_p\}$ is an orthonormal set if $\vec{u}_i \cdot \vec{u}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\|\vec{u}_i\| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

(3) [20 points] Given the following matrix A , make the following computations.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(a) Find the two distinct eigenvalues of A .

We must find all scalars λ s.t. $(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

To do so, we solve $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ for all possible λ (as a result of $I \neq 0$)

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 3 \\ 4 & 2-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = (1-\lambda)(2-\lambda) - 12 = 2 - 3\lambda + \lambda^2 - 12$$

$$\text{So we solve } \lambda^2 - 3\lambda - 10 = 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda - 5)(\lambda + 2) = 0$$

$\lambda = 5$ and $\lambda = -2$ are the two eigenvalues.

(b) Find a basis for each of the corresponding eigenvalues.

To find a basis for $\lambda = 5$, we solve the system $(A - 5I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. We use Gaussian Elim.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} -4 & 3 & 0 \\ 4 & -3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} -4 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -3/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

So x_2 is free, $x_1 = 3/4 x_2$. Thus a basis for the eigenspace is $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 3/4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

To find a basis for $\lambda = -2$, we solve the system $(A + 2I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

We use Gaussian Elimination.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

So x_2 is free, $x_1 = -x_2$. Thus a basis for the eigenspace is $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

(c) Diagonalize A.

To diagonalize A we use the Diagonalization Theorem. The matrix A can be diagonalized since we have found $n=2$ linearly independent eigenvectors.

Thus, $P = \begin{bmatrix} 3/4 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $D = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$. We can compute P^{-1} , it is $P^{-1} = \frac{1}{7/4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 3/4 \end{bmatrix}$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 4/7 & 4/7 \\ -4/7 & 3/7 \end{bmatrix}$

Thus, $A = P D P^{-1}$.

(d) Use this diagonalization to compute A^4 .

$$A^4 = (P D P^{-1})(P D P^{-1})(P D P^{-1})(P D P^{-1})$$

$$= P D^4 P^{-1} \quad \text{by associativity.}$$

So, $D^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 625 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$

$$A^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 3/4 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 625 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4/7 & 4/7 \\ -4/7 & 3/7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1875}{4} & -16 \\ 625 & 16 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4/7 & 4/7 \\ -4/7 & 3/7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1875+64}{7} & \frac{1875-48}{7} \\ \frac{2500-64}{7} & \frac{2500+48}{7} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1939}{7} & \frac{1827}{7} \\ \frac{2436}{7} & \frac{2548}{7} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 277 & 261 \\ 348 & 364 \end{bmatrix}$$

(sorry for the arithmetic!)

- (4) [10 points] Let $W = \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$. Show that if x is orthogonal to v_j , for $1 \leq j \leq p$, then x is orthogonal to every vector in W .

Let \vec{y} be an arbitrary vector in W . We will show $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = 0$ and this will establish the result.

As \vec{y} is in W , there exist scalars c_1, \dots, c_p so that

$$\vec{y} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_p \vec{v}_p.$$

We compute $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot (c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_p \vec{v}_p)$ by a substitution

$$= \vec{x} \cdot c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \vec{x} \cdot c_p \vec{v}_p$$

$$= c_1 (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}_1) + \dots + c_p (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}_p) \quad \text{by properties of dot product}$$

$$= c_1 (0) + \dots + c_p (0) \quad \text{by the given,}$$

$$= 0$$

\vec{x} is orthogonal to each

\vec{v}_j .

Thus \vec{x} is orthogonal to \vec{y} .

As \vec{y} was arbitrary, \vec{x} is orthogonal to each vector in W .

(Oops! looks like I like this question given that I asked it before.)

(5) [10 points] Find an orthonormal basis for the column space of the given

$$\text{matrix. } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{let } B = [\vec{b}_1 \quad \vec{b}_2 \quad \vec{b}_3]$$

It is easy to see that $\vec{b}_2 = 2\vec{b}_1$ and that \vec{b}_3 is not a scalar multiple of \vec{b}_1 . So without doing any Gaussian Elimination, we see that $\{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_3\}$ is a basis for the column space of B .

Taking $\{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_3\}$ we construct an orthogonal basis via the Gram-Schmidt Process.

$$\text{Thus } \vec{v}_1 = \vec{b}_1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}_2 &= \vec{b}_3 - \frac{\vec{b}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_1}{\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1} \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{12}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $\text{Col } B$.

We normalize each vector to find an orthonormal basis.

$$\|\vec{v}_1\| = \sqrt{1^2 + 3^2 + 1^2 + 1^2} = \sqrt{12}, \quad \|\vec{v}_2\| = \sqrt{1^2 + (-1)^2 + 1^2 + 1^2} = \sqrt{4} = 2.$$

Thus $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{12} \\ 3/\sqrt{12} \\ 1/\sqrt{12} \\ 1/\sqrt{12} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{12}/12 \\ 3\sqrt{12}/12 \\ \sqrt{12}/12 \\ \sqrt{12}/12 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is an orthonormal basis.

(6) [7 points] Find a least-squares solution of $Ax = b$ for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

To find the least-squares solution, we form the normal equations $A^T A \vec{x} = A^T \vec{b}$ and solve these.

$$A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 8 & 2 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 168 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^T \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 8 & 2 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix}$$

So we consider $\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 7 & 0 & 11 \\ 0 & 168 & 44 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 11/7 \\ 0 & 1 & 44/168 \end{array} \right]$.

Thus, $\hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 11/7 \\ 44/168 \end{bmatrix}$

Alternatively, if you observe that the columns of A are orthogonal,

we may compute $\hat{b} = \frac{\vec{b} \cdot \vec{a}_1}{\vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{a}_1} \vec{a}_1 + \frac{\vec{b} \cdot \vec{a}_2}{\vec{a}_2 \cdot \vec{a}_2} \vec{a}_2 = \frac{11}{7} \vec{a}_1 + \frac{44}{168} \vec{a}_2$.

The weights in this linear combination of the \vec{a}_i 's give us \hat{x} , $\hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 11/7 \\ 44/168 \end{bmatrix}$.

(7) [3 points] Show how to find the least-squares error for the previous problem, but don't actually do so since the arithmetic is miserable to do.

To find the least squares error, we compute $\|\vec{b} - A\hat{x}\| =$

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 11/7 \\ 44/168 \end{bmatrix} \right\|$$

- (8) [10 points] Theorem 5 of Chapter 6 states the following: Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$ be an orthogonal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n . For each y in W , the weights in the linear combination

$$y = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_p u_p$$

are given by

$$c_j = \frac{y \cdot u_j}{u_j \cdot u_j}, \quad (j = 1, \dots, p).$$

The proof sketch is as follows: By the orthogonality of the set, we know $y \cdot u_j = c_1 (u_j \cdot u_j)$ for each j , where $1 \leq j \leq p$. We can now solve for c_j , if $(u_j \cdot u_j)$ is not zero.

Question: Why can't this dot product equal zero?

As the set $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_p\}$ is a basis, \vec{u}_j is not the zero vector. As the only vector that when "dotted with itself" yields 0 is the zero vector, $\vec{u}_j \cdot \vec{u}_j$ cannot be zero.

To be clearer, I should have written "for a specific j ".

Question: If the numerator for c_j in the expression given above does equal 0, then what can you say about \vec{y} in relation to \vec{u}_j ? And, what can you say about \vec{y} in relation to the other vectors in the set?

I graded "generously".

One can say that \vec{y} is orthogonal to \vec{u}_j .

Further, \vec{y} is a linear combination of the other vectors in the set.

(Note that we're saying that \vec{y} is orthogonal to just \vec{u}_j , we're not saying that $c_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$, just the one. If you read it as "all", then you should have concluded that $\vec{y} = \vec{0}$.)

As an example, let $W = \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3\} = \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3\}$. Then if $\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}_1 = 0$, so we're saying $j=1$, we would have \vec{y} can be written as a linear combination of \vec{e}_2 and \vec{e}_3 .

- (9) [5 points] Given $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n , let $L = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}\}$. Show that the mapping $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \text{proj}_L \mathbf{x}$ is a linear transformation.

We show that the mapping preserves vector addition and scalar multiplication and so satisfies the definition of linear transformation.

Note that $\text{proj}_L \vec{x} = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u}}{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}} \vec{u}$.

Let \vec{x} and \vec{y} be any two vectors. Then

$$\text{proj}_L(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = \frac{(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) \cdot \vec{u}}{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}} \vec{u} = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u} + \vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}}{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}} \vec{u} = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u}}{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}} \vec{u} + \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}}{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}} \vec{u} = \text{proj}_L(\vec{x}) + \text{proj}_L(\vec{y}).$$

Let \vec{x} be any vector and c any scalar. Then

$$\text{proj}_L(c\vec{x}) = \frac{(c\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{u}}{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}} \vec{u} = \frac{c(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u})}{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}} \vec{u} = c \text{proj}_L \vec{x}.$$

- (10) [5 points] Suppose that a matrix A has two distinct eigenvalues, λ_1 and λ_2 . Is it possible for a basis for the eigenspace corresponding to λ_1 to intersect in a non-trivial fashion with any basis for the eigenspace corresponding to λ_2 ? Why?

No, it is not possible.

Suppose that \vec{x} was in each basis. Then by the definition of eigenvector $A\vec{x} = \lambda_1 \vec{x}$ and $A\vec{x} = \lambda_2 \vec{x}$.

Thus, $\lambda_1 \vec{x} = \lambda_2 \vec{x}$ and so $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, a contradiction!

Thus the bases do not intersect.